Case 2:22-cv-00152-kjd Document 1 Filed 08/08/22 Page 1 of 4

τ	U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF VERMON NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FILED DISTRICT OF VERMONT	Total Prince
	2022 AUG -8 AM II: 5	6
MICHAEL LUCAS and	CLERK	
APRIL LUCAS) BY LAW	
) Case No. 2:22-CV-152	-
Plaintiffs,) COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES	
V.)	
GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE INSURANCE COMPANY ("G	,	
Defendant.)	

NOW COMES the Plaintiffs, MICHAEL LUCAS and APRIL LUCAS, by and through their counsel, Sabbeth Law PLLC, against the defendant, GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INSURANCE COMPANY ("Geico") and for his causes of action asserts the following:

PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

- 1. That the Plaintiff, MICHAEL LUCAS, resides in the town of Monroe, Fairfield County, Connecticut, is over the age of 18 years, and is in all other respects legally competent.
- 2. That Plaintiff APRIL LUCAS, resides in the town of Monroe, Fairfield County, Connecticut, is over the age of 18 years, and is in all other respects legally competent.
- 3. That at all times relevant to the facts alleged in this complaint and to present, Michael and April Lucas have been married and have resided together within the same domicile.
- 4. That the Defendant, GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INSURANCE COMPANY ("Geico"), is an insurance company doing business in the State of Vermont.
- 5. That Defendant, Geico, is a for profit domestic corporation with its principal place of business in the city of Fredericksburg, Virginia.

SABBETH LAW, PLLC

201 DEWITT DRIVE SUITE 1 'HITE RIVER JUNCTION VERMONT 05001 (802) 457-1112

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This action is brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1332, in that the parties are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00 exclusive of costs and interests. Plaintiff Lucas is a citizen of Connecticut. Defendant Government Employees Insurance Company is a citizen of Virginia. This Court has proper jurisdiction.
 - 7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, proper venue for this cause of action is the United States

 District Court for the District of Vermont.
- 8. The incident which forms the basis of this Complaint occurred in the town of St. Albans, Franklin County, Vermont, which is within the District of Vermont. This Court has proper venue.

FACTS

- 9. Plaintiffs hereby incorporate by reference all statements and allegations contained in the previous paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
 - 10. Plaintiffs and Defendant entered into an insurance contract, Policy # 4271800411 ("the Geico auto policy") providing automobile insurance coverage to Plaintiff.
 - 11. As of September 25, 2020, the Geico auto policy was in full force and effect.
 - 12. The Geico auto policy included uninsured motor vehicle bodily injury coverage in the amount of \$300,000.
 - 13. On September 25, 2020, at approximately 4:50 p.m., Michael Lucas was operating his vehicle, insured under the above-referenced GEICO policy, while traveling west in the Walmart parking lot at 700 Tuckers Way in the Town of St. Albans, Vermont.
 - 14. At the same time and place, driver, Mr. Michael Boucher, attempted to reverse out of his parking space in northernly direction, failed to see Plaintiff Michael Lucas's vehicle, failed to yield the right of way to Plaintiff Michael Lucas, and negligently caused his vehicle to crash into the vehicle driven by Plaintiff Michael Lucas.

SABBETH LAW, PLLC

201 DEWITT DRIVE
SUITE 1
'HITE RIVER JUNCTION
VERMONT 05001
(802) 457-1112

CAUSE OF ACTION-NEGLIGENCE

- 15. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each of the preceding paragraphs as if fully stated in detail herein.
- 16. That on September 25, 2020, Defendant was negligent in his actions or omissions in operating a motor vehicle.
- 17. That on September 25, 2020, the Driver, Boucher, operated a motor vehicle in the Walmart parking lot at 700 Tuckers Way in the Town of St. Albans, Vermont, and carelessly and negligently maintained, controlled, and/or operated said motor vehicle in such a manner as to cause a collision with Plaintiff Michael Lucas causing him to suffer serious and permanent injuries as hereinafter more fully alleged.
- 18. That Defendant Boucher's actions and or omissions constitute a failure to use due care. The failure to use care was a proximate and producing cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages as described more thoroughly below.
- 19. That as a direct and proximate result of the above-described negligence, Mr. Lucas suffered injuries including but not limited to bodily injury, pain, discomfort, disability, psychological distress, lost wages, mental anguish, loss of the capacity for the enjoyment of life, and medical expenses.

 These losses are either permanent or continuing in nature and Plaintiff will continue to suffer these losses in the future.
- 20. That as a further direct and proximate result of the above-stated negligence, Plaintiff, April Lucas, suffered the loss of consortium, services, society, support, comfort, and companionship of her husband, Plaintiff Michael Lucas, due to the injuries he suffered and continues to suffer.
 - 21. Driver Michael Boucher is at fault for the subject collision.
 - 22. Plaintiffs are legally entitled to recover damages from Mr. Boucher.
- 23. Mr. Boucher was not covered under any policy of auto liability insurance policy, or any other applicable policy, at the time of crash.

SABBETH LAW, PLLC

201 DEWITT DRIVE SUITE 1 'HITE RIVER JUNCTION VERMONT 05001 (802) 457-1112 24. Defendant breached its insurance contract with Plaintiff by not compensating Plaintiffs for

their damages and losses suffered as a result of the collision.

25. As a result of Defendant's breach of contract, the Plaintiffs have incurred, and will continue

to incur, damages and losses in connection with the injuries sustained in the September 24, 2020,

automobile collision.

26. As a direct and proximate result of the subject crash, Plaintiffs MICHAEL and APRIL

LUCAS suffered, and continue to suffer, damages allowed by law in excess of \$75,000.

Therefore, the Plaintiffs, MICHAEL LUCAS and APRIL LUCAS, sue the Defendant,

GEICO, for damages together with costs incurred herein, together with taxable costs necessarily

incurred in the prosecution of their case as well as prejudgment interest and any further relief this

Court finds just and equitable, and, pursuant to F.R.C.P. 28(b), demand trial by a jury of all issues

triable as a matter of right.

DATED at White River Junction, Vermont, this 2ndth day of August 2022.

MICHAEL and APRIL LUCAS

Plaintiffs/

By:

Michael J. Sabbeth, Esq.

Sabbeth Law, PLLC

201 Dewitt Drive, Suite 1

White River Junction, Vermont 05001

(802) 457-1112

mjs@Sabbethlaw.com

SABBETH LAW, PLLC

201 DEWITT DRIVE SUITE 1 'HITE RIVER JUNCTION VERMONT 05001 (802) 457-1112

4